

1
2
3
4
5
6 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7
8 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9

10 No. C 08-5221 SI

11 CHARLES RIDGEWAY, et al.,

**ORDER DENYING MOTION TO FILE
UNDER SEAL**

12 Plaintiffs,

13 v.

14 WAL-MART STORES INC.,

15 Defendant.
16 _____/

17 Currently before the Court is plaintiffs' administrative motion, pursuant to Local Rule 79-5(e),
18 to file documents under seal. Docket No. 117. On May 16, 2014, plaintiffs moved the Court for an
19 Order to permit the filing of their opposition to defendant's motion for partial summary judgment and
20 supporting evidence under seal – if defendant Wal-Mart establishes the material is sealable. *Id.*

21 Under Civil Local Rule 79-5(e), where "the Submitting Party is seeking to file under seal a
22 document designated as confidential by the opposing party or a non-party pursuant to a protective order
23 . . . [,] [w]ithin 4 days of the filing of the Administrative Motion to File Under Seal, the Designating
24 Party must file a declaration as required by subsection 79-5(d)(1)(A) establishing that all of the
25 designated material is sealable." To date, Wal-Mart has not filed the required declaration. Accordingly,
26 the Court DENIES plaintiff's motion to seal.

27 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

28 Dated: May 27, 2014



SUSAN ILLSTON
United States District Judge